

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/763,678	06/04/2001	Henry Guy Stevens		9281
7590 10/18/2004			EXAMINER	
Martin G Linihan			REDDICK, MARIE L	
Hodgson Russ LLP One M&T Plaza Suite 2000			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Buffalo, NY 14203-2391			1713	
	1		DATE MAILED: 10/18/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4		A /	
-	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/763,678	STEVENS, HENRY GUY	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Judy M. Reddick	1713	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 05 October 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this app (1) a timely filed amendment w	lication. A proper reply to a hich places the application in	
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	•	
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extermining the period of extermining the companion of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T late on which the petition under 37 CFR ension and the corresponding amount of the ed statutory period for reply originally set is	of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee the fee. The appropriate extension fee under in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR)	t's Brief must be filed within the FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissa	period set forth in	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered		. or the appeals	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note		,	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	aterially reducing or simplifying the	
(d) They present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number o	f finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
$3. \boxtimes$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s): See Continuation She	<u>et</u> .	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment	
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: S	or reconsideration has been con See Continuation Sheet.	nsidered but does NOT place the	
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ecause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v	nt(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or vould be rejected is provided be	b) will be entered and an elow or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	::		
Claim(s) allowed: NONE			
Claim(s) objected to: NONE.			
Claim(s) rejected: 94-116.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: NONE.	ė,		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b) disapproved by	y the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	•		
0.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>			
		Judy M. Reusur Judy M. Reddick Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1713	

4

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) \$09/763,678

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly proposed limitation "solid particulate filler" per claims 94, 104 & 105 and "liquefying the bulk of the polymer granules" per claim 109 engenders new Issues (94, 104 & 105) & Issues of New Matter (109) that would necessitate further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejection under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph items B) and C) of 07/01/04.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of reasons clearly set forth in the previous Office Action (07/01/04).

Continuation of 10. Other: Counsel's arguments (10/05/04) have been acknowledged. However, Counsel's arguments appear to only address the amended claims, as proposed, and not the finally rejected claims.